G. R. S. 59]

GPB—15,000—22-7-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1901-02

491.

(Muzrai

File No. 252

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month

SUBJECT.

Sanctioning Sale of Superfluous Jewellery belonging to certain Muzrai Temples in the Magadi Taluk-

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

		Estimated value
(1)	Sri Ranganathaswami Temple at Tirumale	Rs 57-2-0
(2)	Biridalamma Temple " "	345-0-0
(3)	Narasimhaswami Temple at Savandurg	363-12-11
(4)	Veerabhadraswami Temple "	82-15-3
		848-14-2

SUBJECT:— Sale of Superfluous Jewellery belonging to certain Muzrai temples in the Magadi Taluk

LAST REFERENCE.

Letter No. 1311 dated 25th May '03

from the Dy Comr, Bangalore District.

In September 1901 the Dy Comr of Bangalore (Mr M. S. Narayan Rao) submitted a statement of jewels belonging to ~~certain~~ the marginally noted temples of the Magadi Taluk and proposed ~~that the~~ jewels whose estimated value was

and which were not required for use in the temples
was Rs 848-14-2, may be sold and the proceeds credited to the Savings of the Institutions concerned.

2- In accordance with the Standing Orders of Govt relating to the Sale of Superfluous Jewellery, the Dy Comr was asked to report how long the Jewels under reference have been in the possession of the temples, whether they have not been in use during the preceding five years and what the local feeling is in regard to their sale.

⊗ 1) cir No 183-93/10
d 9-5-92
2) cir. No 240 4d/12
d 2-6-92

3. In reply, the Dy Comr reported that some of the Jewels were in the possession of

the

Dy Comr's Letter No 715 d/ 20th Decr '01.
(x Flag A)

the temples for the last 20 years while the others were for about 7 or 8 years and that none of them are used in the temples as others are available. As to the local feeling in regard to the sale of the jewels, the Dy Comr, Mr. M. S. Narayan Rao stated that it was not against the sale

Pro: No 1097-8 / Mun 736-92
Dated 22nd Jany '02..
x Flag B.

4. Accordingly sanction was accorded to the sale of the jewels in a public auction to be held in Taluk Hd Quarters in the presence of the Dy Comr or the Asstt Comr in charge of the Taluk. The Dy Comr was also asked to notify the sale in the Mysore Gazette and

the local newspapers, due publicity thereof being given also by beat of tom-tom in the Taluk Hd. Quarters and the surrounding villages. As to the sale proceeds the Dy Comr was requested to credit them to the funds of the respective Institutions.

5. No action seems to have been taken in the matter by the District authorities for about 15 months since the date of the above orders of Govt.

6. On the 21st May last, the sale of the Jewels was notified in the Mysore Gazette by

by the present Deputy Commissioner, Mr Sparkes,

This Office Docket No 2294 d/ 21-5-03.
Flag C

7. A long time having elapsed since the issue of the Govt order sanctioning the sale of the Jewels by public auction the Dy Comr was requested to quote the No & the date of the authority under which such sale was notified by him in the Gazette.

Bangalore Dy Comr's No 1311 dated 25-5-03.
Flag D

8. In reply, the Dy Comr reports that the notification was issued by him

under the authority +
of Govt Pro: No 1097-8 / Mun 736-92 + Pag 1:
dated 22nd January 1902.

Submitted for orders

Ls
2/6/03 Subd to the Dewan

What I ordered was strictly according to rule, and I made all the necessary enquiries of the Dy Comr and sanctioned the sale as the articles were of small value and lying without use in the temples and as the Dy Commissioner (Mr M. C. Narayana, recommended the measure and submitted a Mahazar showing that the public opinion was in favour of the sale so far I think the action taken was correct
But I now feel that I ought to have taken the

Dewan's sanction in the matter, and I therefore now submit the matter for orders before the sale which is fixed for the 18th instant actually takes place.

1060 / 5/6/03

In my own humble opinion, the sale may be carried out.

2/6/03

02125

If Dharmadasees are in any way [illegible] to represent those who are interested in this temple they might have been consulted. The sale may take place. But such orders should be passed written in the Secretariat

7. VI 03

Draft 9/6/03

Note contd:—

The Dy Commr. reports in his letter No. 205 d/ 24th Augt.

that the jewels were as per sanction conveyed sold & ~~that~~ jewels of the estimated value of Rs 532-5-6 were sold for Rs 577-12-3 and jewels of the estimated value of Rs 316-6-10 were sold for Rs 175-4-0, the heavy fall in the latter case being due to the fact of the present value of silver being very low & the silver being of a very inferior kind

~~A draft order "recorded" is/submitted for app.~~

The Dy Commr's letter is very meagre — It does not give any information as to which of the temples fetched the most and which

which the best —

Who conducted the sale, whether the jewels were already handed over to the highest bidder, and whether the whole amount was recovered from him and if so how the amount has been deposited are also the points not clear —

The vernacular papers bearing on the sale have not been submitted

Submitted

31/8/03

A draft is herewith submitted for approval

PTO

Note continued:—

Further information having been called for, the Dy Commr. Bangalore, reports that the sale of the jewels was conducted by Mr. Ahmed Ali Asst Commr., that the jewels were given over to the purchasers after receiving from them the sale price & who also are stated to have sold them to others in their turn in some cases at a discount and in some cases at a profit. The names of the Instns to which the jewels belonged are as reported stated in the margin*. The amount is reported to be kept in Revenue Deposit.

*: margin of D.C's letter no 501 dy 5th [illegible]

Subtd.

A draft order is subtd for appl. directing that the amounts should be credited to the respective Instns ~~under Surpl. Fund~~

Is
6/1/04

Issue the draft

7/1/04

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT :—

Requesting sanction for selling the gold and silver jewels belonging to the Sri Narasimhaswami temple at Sugganhally, Magadi Taluk, which are out of use.

LAST REFERENCE.

Letter No. 389 dated 3-11-1903

~~Form~~ from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

The Deputy Commissioner having furnished copy of a statement shewing the names of certain gold and silver jewels valued at Rs 204-11-10, states that they are not in use and as such superfluous and that the Amildar and the Assistant Commissioner propose they may be sold and the sale proceeds credited to the temple funds and requests Government to accord sanction for selling the jewels in question.

Subd.— In such cases the opinion of the Dharmadarsees used to be obtained — The Dy Commissioner may be requested to do so, lest there may ~~not~~ be any complaints on the subject after the sale of the jewels in question is sanctioned by Govt.

Submitted with a draft.

12/11/03

Ks
11/11/03

note continued

No 1234 d. 17 11.03

The Deputy Commissioner was written to as directed. The Deputy Commissioner forwards No 15 dated 26th December 1903 from the Dharmadarsis stating that it would be profitable to the temple if the unserviceable articles are disposed of.

No 708 d. 12.2.04

Submitted with a draft to the Dewan

Ks
20/2/04

7/3/04

16404
7.3.04

Read
12/3/04

note continued

The Dy. Commr. reports that a sum of Rs 338-3-6 was realized by the sale of the superfluous jewels and the amount was deposited in the Savings Bank at Magadi to the credit of the institution. ~~A~~ Submits with a draft recording Dy. Commr's letter.

no: 53, of 16th Decr 1904.

1~~8~~901 | 1902

FROM M. S. Narayan Rao Esqr
Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore District

TO L. Anantasami Rao Esqr
Dy Secy to the Govt of Mysore
Rev & Genl Depts

Dated 31st August }
Despatched 2nd September } 1901
Received

General No.
D No. 9

Enclosure No One

Submits a Statement of Jewels worth Rs 848-12-4 and not required for use in certain temples of the Magadi Taluk and requests Sanction of Govt for their Sale and the amount being credited to the Savings of the Institution Concerned

3/9/01

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 3-9-1901
REG. No. 158
MUZRAI BRANCH

Submitted for orders

3/9/01

Call for information according to Govt. Proceedings on the subject.

3/9/01

D No 274
Rev: ue

Bangalore District
Dy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 31st August 1901

From,

M. S. Narayen Rao Esqr.
Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To,

L. Anantasami Rao Esqr.
Dy Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Rev: and Genl. Departments.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith, a Statement of jewels worth Rs 848-12-4, and not required for use in the marginally noted temples of the Magadi Taluk, and to request Sanction of Government for their Sale. The amount thus realized will be credited to the Savings of the Institution concerned.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

1 Sri Rangaswami temple at Tirumale
2 Bisalu Mariamma temple.
3 Sri Narasimhaswami temple at Savandurg.
4 Veerabhadra Devaru temple do

MUZRAI

1901

From

THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

To

The Dy Commr
Bangalore Dt

Dated 11th Septr 1901

Despatched

Received

General No. 420

Muzrai F. No. 36-92

Enclosure No. 1

His letter no 274 d/ 31st August 1901, requesting sanction of Govt to the sale of certain jewels worth Rs 848-12-4 belonging to the muzrai Temples in Magadi Taluk

With reference to the above, has the honor to invite the Dy Commissioner's attention to the marginally* noted Govt Proceedings in the matter of the sale of superfluous jewellery

*
1/ No. 183-93 / Cir 10 d/ 9th May 1892
2/ No. 240-48 / Cir 12 d/ 2nd June 1892

and to request information
on the following points:—

(i) How long have the
jewels mentioned in the
Statement received with
the letter cited above,
been in the possession
of the Temples? and have they not been used for
the last 5 years?

(ii) What is the local
feeling in the matter of
the sale of the jewels
in question?

The Statement received
th the letter under reply
'eturned for re-subn
with the information called
for above.

By order
10/9/06
Dy Secretary

MUZRAI BRANCH

1901/02

FROM The Dy. Commissioner, Bangalore District.

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore — Muzrai Dept.

Dated 20th }
Despatched 21st } December 01
Received

General No.

B No.

Enclosure No. 2 Statements & 2 Mahazars

To Secy's Order No. $\frac{440}{36-92}$ of the 11th September 1901.

Submits certain information on points connected with the Sale of Jewels belonging to the Muzrai Institutions in the district

21/12/01

Refers

Ks.

Submitted for orders

Ks.

23/12/01

Sanction the sale and direct that wide publicity should be given, and ~~that~~ the sale shd be conducted under the personal supervision of the Dy Commr or an Asst Commr

20/1/02

Vide No 1097-8 of 22/1/02

D No 715

Enc: 2 Statements
2 [illegible]

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 10th December 1907

From,

M. S. Narayana Rao Esq.,
Dy Commissioner,
Bangalore District

To,

L. Ananta Sami Rao Esq.
Dy Secy to the Govt of Mysore
Muzrai Department

In returning herewith the enclosures received with your docket No 420 Muz 731-92 of the 11th September 1907, regarding the Sale of the Jewels in the Magadi Taluk, I have

the

the honor to state that some jewels were in the possession of the Temple authorities for the last 20 years, while others for the last 7 or 8 years and none of them were used as other jewels are available Vide list A enclosed).

2. The local feeling about the jewels is not against their sale as will be seen from the enclosed Mahazars.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Dy. Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

.D/1 ...

Muzrai.

Read:-

Correspondence ending with Letter No. 715 of 20th December 1901, from the Dy Commr., Bangalore District, requesting sanction of Govt. to the sale of certain unserviceable jewels belonging to some of the Muzrai Temples in the Magadi Taluk.

No 6097-8
Muz 730-02
of 22nd Jan '02
En. 1/pp

Order thereon.

		Estimated Value
(1)	Sri Ranganathaswami Temple at Tirumale Rs	57-2-"
(2)	Bisale Mariamma Temple	345-"-"
(3)	Sri Narasimhaswami Temple at Savandurg	363-12-11 ~~20-"-"~~
(4)	Sri Varadarajaswami Do Do	82-15-3
		848-14-2

~~From the statement submitted~~ by the Dy Commr it is observed that the estimated value of the jewels proposed to be sold by auction amounts as noted in the margin to Rs 848-~~12-4~~ 14-2. These jewels are reported to be no l- in use for the past ~~nearly~~

several
~~2~~ years ~~in the case of~~ ~~[illegible]~~ The Dy Commr therefore
~~[illegible], and~~ the local recommends their sale
feeling in the matter and also states that
of the sale of ~~such~~ these superfluous
jewels is not against
it. In these circumstances,

the Govt are pleased
to accord sanction to the sale
of the ~~unserviceable~~ jewels in question in a public
~~and other articles by~~ auction which should
~~public auction, wide~~ be held in the Taluk
~~publicity thereof being~~ Head-Quarters in the
~~given, and the sale~~ presence of the Dy Commr
~~conducted under the~~ or the Asst Commr in
~~personal supervision~~ charge of the Taluk.
~~of the Deputy Commissioner~~ 2 The sale should
~~or an Assistant Commissioner~~ be notified in the
~~deputed by him for~~ Mysore Gazette and
the

Local Newspapers. Due publicity thereof should also be given by beat of Tom-tom in the Taluk Head-Quarters and the surrounding villages.

~~the purpose, and the~~ (3) The sale proceeds should be credited to the funds of the respective Institutions and the result communicated to Govt in the Muzrai Department

21/1/02

Dft-

Dy Secretary
Muzr Departt

To, Ds

The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District
returning records.

The Comptroller to the
Govt of Mysore

Very urgent

MUZRAI

1902—1903

From
The DEPUTY SECRETARY,
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

To
The Dy Commr
Bangalore Dt

Dated
Despatched 21st May 03
Received

General No. 2294.
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. ~~Gazette~~

In inviting his attention to the notice dated 28th April 1903 issued by him and published in the Mysore Gazette Part II (page 594) dated the ~~28th~~ 21st instant regarding ~~the~~ the proposed sale, by public auction on the 18th June 1903, of jewels belonging to some certain muzrai temples ~~of~~ in the Magadi Taluk, has the honor to enquire if the previous sanction of Govt was obtained for the sale in question.

MGOPB—2,000—7-6-02

If so, the Dy Comr is requested to quote the No & the date of the Such authority sanctioning the sale of the Jewels in question. If not, the circumstances under which the notice was issued and published should be explained.

A very early reply is requested

By order

Dfc

22/5/03

Dy Secretary
Muzrai Deptt

Rs
22/5/03

Urgent

1902/03

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

The Dy Secy to the Govt
of Mysore
Muzrai Dept

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 25-5-03
REG No 979
MUZRAI BRANCH

Dated 25th } May 1903
Despatched 25th }
Received

General No.

D No. 151

Enclosure No.

Submitted for information

Sd
25/5/03

Dy Secy's docket No. 2294 of 21st May 1903.

Inviting refce to Govt Pro: sanctioning the sale of the jewels of the temples in the Magady Tk by public auction

D No 1311

Bangalore District
Dy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 25th Mar 1903

From,

H H Sparkes Esqr
Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To,

L Anantasami Rao Esqr:
Dy Secy to the Govt of Mysore
Muzrai Deptt

Sir,

With reference to your docket No 2294 dated 21st Instant, calling on me to quote the number and date of the authority, sanctioning the sale of the Jewels of the temples in the Magadi Taluk, I have the honor to invite your attention to Government Proceedings

Put up with
[illegible]
29/1/02

Proceedings No. 1097-8 dated 22nd
Mis 73 b 92

January 1902 authorizing the sale of the same by public auction in the Taluk Head Quarters.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

p. Dy Commissioner

Muzrai

Read:—

Govt. Pro: No $\frac{1097-8}{\text{Muz } 736-92}$ dated 22nd January 1902 sanctioning the sale of certain unserviceable Jewels of the aggregate value of Rs 848-14-2 belonging to some of the Muzrai temples in the Magadi Taluk.

And, Correspondence ending with letter No 1311 dated 25th May 1903, from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, reporting ~~that~~ on the above— ~~the notice dated 28th April 1903, issued by him and published in the Mysore Gazette dated the 21st May last~~

~~last regarding the sale of the above Jewels was on the authority of the Govt. Proceedings cited above.~~

Order thereon No. 2490 / Muzr. F. No. 36-92 D/ 11.6.03.

Recorded.

2. It is presumed that the sale of the Jewels in question will be conducted in the presence of the Deputy Commr. or ~~the~~ one of the Asstt. Commrs. as directed in the Order of Govt. dated 22nd January 1902, read above.

Dft.
Dewan's order

10/6/03

Dy Secretary
Muzrai Deptt.

Js
10/6/03

To
The Dy Commr. Bangalore Dt.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
266
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

1903-04

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore Dt

To The Dy Secy to the Govt
of Mysore
Muzrai Deptt

Dated 24th } August 1903
Despatched 27th } August 1903
Received

General No.
S. No. 205

Enclosure No

27/8/03

Refers
Sd
27/8/03

Govt Pro. No 1097-8 / Muz 7 36-92 of 22nd Jany 1903.

States that the jewels of the estimated value of Rs 532-5-6 were sold for Rs 517-12-3 and those of the estimated value of Rs 316-6-10 for Rs 175-4-0 owing to the value of the silver being low.

Note contd & Dft order subtd

S No. 205

Bangalore District
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 24th August 03.

From

H. H. Sparkes Esqr
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To

L. Anantasawmy Rao Esqr B. A.
Deputy Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No $\frac{1097-8}{\text{Muz F 36-92}}$ dated 22nd January 1902, sanctioning the sale of the jewels of the temples in the Magadi Taluk, I have the honor to state that the jewels of the estimated value of Rs 532-5-6 were sold for Rs 517-12-3 and the jewels of the estimated value of Rs 316-6-10 were sold for Rs 175-4-0. The heavy fall in the latter is due to the fact of the present value of silver being very low and to the silver in question being of a very inferior kind.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

for Deputy Commissioner.

~~Early~~

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

19 —19

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To The Dy Commr
Bangalore Dt

Dated 2nd Sept 03

Despatched

Received

General No. 636
Muzrai F. No. 36-72.

Enclosure No.

His letter No. 205 d/ 24th August 1903 reporting on the sale of jewels belonging to the temples in the Magadi Taluk.

With reference to the above, has the honor to request to be furnished with a statement shewing the names of the several institutions to which the

MGCPB—1,000—21-7-03.

jewels in question belonged and the amounts respectively realized by their sale. Information should also be furnished as to whether the jewels have been handed over to the purchaser & how the money is now deposited. The Vern: Papers relating to the sale should be submitted together with a report as to in whose presence the sale was conducted

By order

D Secy
Muz Deptt

1/9/03

529

R.K

Recd

4/11/03

4/11

1903/04

From
The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore Dt

To
The Dy Secy to the Govt
of Mysore
Muzrai Deptt

Dated
Despatched
3rd Nov 1903

Received

General No.

S No.

Enclosure No One

Submits a statement showing the names of certain gold and silver Jewels belonging to the Sri Narasimhaswamy temple, Suggenahalli village Magadi Tk and requests sanction to sell as proposed by the Amildar & the Asst Comr

S No 389
Sm 03

Bangalore District
Dy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 3rd November
1903

From,

H A Sparkes Esqr
Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To,

L Anantaswami Rao Esqr
Dy Secy to the Govt
of Mysore
Muzrai Deptt

Sir,

In forwarding, herewith a statement showing the names of certain Gold and silver Jewels valued at Rs 204-11-10 of the Sri Narasimhaswami temple in the Sugganahalli village of the Magadi Taluk, I have the honour to state that they are not in use and as such are superfluous

superfluous and the Amildar and the Assistant Commissioner propose to sell these Jewels and credit the proceeds of sale to the temple funds. I therefore request that Government may be pleased to sanction the sale of the Jewels in question

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Sparkes

Dy Commissioner

Nil

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

1903 —1904

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
DEPUTY SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

To The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Dated 17th November 1903.

Despatched

Received

General No.

Muzrai F. No. 36-92

Enclosure No.

His letter No. 3598 d 3.11.03 proposing ~~to sell away the jewels~~ the sale of the unused ~~not in use~~ and superfluous ~~belong~~ jewels belonging to the Sri Narasimhaswami temple at Suggenhalli; Magadi Taluk.

With reference to the above, has the honor to request ~~him to obtain~~ that the opinion of the Dharma

Darsis of the temple ~~on the~~ in regard to the proposed sale may be obtained ~~subject and to forward the same for information.~~ and forwarded to this office for reference

12/11/43

Dy Secretary
Revn. Deptt.

Js
11/11/43

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
933
MUZRAI DEPT

1903/04

From The Dy Commissioner Bangalore Dt

To

The Dy Secy to the Govt of Mysore

Dated 5th } Muzrai Dept
Despatched 7th } Decr 1903
Received

General No.
S No.

Enclosure No [illegible] and a file

Papers
Ex
7/12/03

P.R.

Note contd
Subtd with a
Draft [illegible]

Dy Secy's docket No $\frac{636}{3692}$ of 2nd Sept 1903

Submits as called for further information on certain points in regard to the sale of Jewels belonging to the [illegible] in the [illegible]

MGCPB—50 reams.—6-12 02

S No. 501
Move to a file

Bangalore District,
Deputy Commissioner's office,
Camp Magady,
Dated 5th December 1903

From,
H. H. Sparkes Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To,
L. Ananthswamy Rao Esqr. B.A.
Deputy Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore, Muzrai Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. $\frac{636}{36-92}$ of 2nd September 1903, calling for a statement in regard to the sale of the jewels belonging to certain temples in the Magadi Taluk, I have the honor to forward the Assistant Commissioner's report No. 183 of 10th November 1903 and to append in the margin the names of Institutions to which the jewels belonged and the amount respectively realized by the sale thereof. The jewels have been handed over to the purchasers after the receipt of the price and

the

Names of Institutions	Amount realized by the sale
1. Tirumale Ranganathaswamy temple	91 — 2 — 3
2. Bisalu Narasimhaswamy temple	310 — 0 — 0
3. Savendrug Narasimhaswamy temple	92 — 6 — 0
4. Veerabhadraswamy temple	20 — 4 — 0
5. Veerabhadraswamy and Narasimhaswamy temples	175 — 4 — 0
	689 — 0 — 3
Deduct Cart hire for Carrying jewels &c to Chennapatna for sale	2 — 3 — 9
Balance	686 — 12 — 6

the money is kept in the Revenue Deposit at the Credit of the respective temples. The sale was Conducted by Mr. Ahamed Ali, Assistant Commissioner in Charge of Closepet Range.

2. The entire vernacular records on the subject received with Closepet Assistant Commissioner's docket No. 183 of 3rd November 1905, is herewith submitted.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

Muzrai.

Read:—

Govt. Pro: No. $\frac{1097-8}{\text{Muz } 7\text{-}36\text{-}92}$ d/ 22nd January 1902 sanctioning the sale by public auction of certain unserviceable jewels belonging to some of the Muzrai Instns in the Magadi Taluk.

And,

Correspondence ending with letter No. 501 dated 5th December 1903 from the Dy Commr., Bangalore District reporting on the sale of the jewels in question.

No. 1954-5
Encl file
D/ 8/1/04

Order thereon:—

From the correspondence read above it is observed that the sale was duly notified in the Mysore Gazette on the 21st May last and conducted by Mr. Ahmed Ali

MYSORE GOVERNM

1722

1903/04

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore Dt

To The Dy Secy to the Govt
of Mysore
Muzrai Dept

Dated 12th
Despatched 13th } Feby 04.
Received

General No.

S No. 708

Enclosure No. 2 N: Lists

Dy Secy's Docket No 1234 of 17th Nov 1903.

States that the Dharmadarsi of Sri Narasimhaswami temple at Sugganahalli Magadi Tk is of opinion that the superfluous jewels of the temple should be sold.

L No 408
Su 3 V: halus

Bangalore District
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 12th February 04.

From
H. H. Sparkes Esqr
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To
L. Anantaswamy Rao Esqr B. A.
Deputy Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Muzrai Department.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No 1234 of 17th November 1903, calling for the opinion of the dharmadasees of the Sri Narasimha Swami temple at Suggonahalli in the Magady Taluk in regard to the sale of the superfluous jewels of the temple, I have the honor to forward, herewith, Magadi Amildar's report No 455 of 1st January 04, from which it will be seen that the dharmadasees agree to the proposal of selling the superfluous jewels of the temple.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
H H Sparkes
[illegible] Deputy Commissioner

[illegible]

Read:

Correspondence ending with letter No. S. 708 dated 12th February 1904 from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District, requesting sanction of Government to sell away the superfluous jewels belonging to the Sri Narasimhaswamy temple at Suggenahalli village, Magadi Taluk.

No. 2860-1 Dt/15-3-04
Muz. F. 36-92 — En/3.

Order thereon. No.

With the correspondence read above the Deputy Commissioner ~~states~~ forwards a statement shewing certain gold and silver jewels belonging to the above temple valued at Rs 204/7/0 and states that they are not in use and are as such superfluous and that the ~~Assistant Co~~ Amildar and Assistant Commissioner propose to sell them and credit the sale proceeds to the temple funds. The Dy Comr also states that the Dharmadarsis who were consulted ~~in~~ the matter agree to the sale of the jewels in question.

—Under these circumstances the Govt are pleased to ~~direct~~ sanction the sale of the jewels in question by public auction ~~and crediting~~ due publicity being given ~~of the sale proceeds to the temple funds.~~ of the sale —

2. The sale should be conducted under the supervision of a responsible Officer and the proceeds paid into the ~~Temple to ll~~ Treasury to the credit of the temple and the fact reported to this Office.

Dft

Es
20/2/04

~~Dy~~ Secretary
Muz: Deptt

To

The Dy Comr, Bangalore Dt
[illegible] the V. pp.

Comptroller to the Govt of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore

for information.

9.3.04

Re
12/3/04

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
226
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

189 04. 5

From

The Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore Dist.

To

The Secretary to the Govt.
of Mysore
Muzrai Dept.

Dated 16.

Despatched December 1904

Received

General No.
M. No. 53

Enclosure No.

Govt. Pro. No. 2860-1
of 15 March 1904.

States that the sum of Rs. 338-3-5 realized by the sale of superfluous jewels of Sri Narasimhaswami temple at Sugganahalli in the Magadi Taluk was credited into the Taluk Treasury.

Refers please
4/19-12-04
Please off. order "Recorded"

M. R. 53

Bangalore District,
Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Bangalore 16th December 1904.

From,

D.Devaraja Urs,Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To,

L.Anantasami Rao,Esquire,B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Muzrai Department Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No.2860- of the 15th March 1904 sanctioning the sale of superfluous jewels belonging to the Sri Narasimhaswami temple at Suggana halli village in the Magadi Taluk,I have the honot to state that Rs.338-3-6 was ~~ar~~ realized by the sale of the jewels and the amount has been credited on 26th September 1904 into the Magadi Treasury Savings Bank to the credit of the Institution.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

DDevaraj

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Read: —

Govt. Pro. no. 2860-1, / Muz 7-36-92

dated 15th March 1904, — Sanctioning the Sale of unserviceable jewels belonging to the Sri Nara Simha Swamy temple at Suggana halli Village, Magadi Taluk.

And,

Letter no: 53 dated 16th Decr 1904 from the Dy. Commr., Bangalore Distt., reporting that a Sum of Rs. 338-3-6 was realized by the Sale of the superflous jewels and that the amount was credited into the Savings Bank at Magadi to the credit of the institution.

Order thereon. no 2466-7 Dy. / M : 7 : 36-92

" 16th Jany 1905

Recorded

Secretary, Muz. Deptt.

Dft

Ar 28:12:04.

12/1/05

To, The Dy. Commr., Bangalore Dst.
~~and~~ The Comptr Mysore